UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,859	03/01/2007	Walter Viegner JR.	102475.57672US	8826
23911 CROWELL & I	7590 10/09/200 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			JENNINGS, STEPHANIE M	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Annliestion No.	Annlinent/a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/580,859	VIEGNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephanie Jennings	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 September 2009</u> .						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
· _						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-20</u> is/are rejected.						
	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 May 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) LJ Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/580,859 Page 2

Art Unit: 3725

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 1-5, filed September 11, 2009, with respect to the final rejection of June 12, 2009 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The final rejection of June 12, 2009 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 9-11, 13, 16-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeuchi et al. US Patent No. 4,845,972 in view of Drillon et al. US Patent No. 6,718,811. Takeuchi discloses a method of making a socket on a pipe (1) by introducing an expansion tool (3B) where the wall thickness is enlarged to a prescribed wall thickness (A) (column 2, lines 51-58) and the outer diameter of the pipe is limited by an upsetting device (2A and 3A) and a shaping shoe (2B) (column 2, lines 48-65).

Art Unit: 3725

Regarding claim 9, Takeuchi discloses a steel material (abstract and col. 1, lines 9). Takeuchi does not disclose an expansion tool with one or more cylindrical or conical parts that determine a subsequent inner diameter of the pipe end. Drillon teaches a mandrel (14) with one or more conical and cylindrical parts (17, 18, 19, 20, 21) comprising a first cylindrical part (17) and a first part (18, 19) and a subsequent smaller second part (20, 21) (column 5, lines 40-51). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the expansion tool of Takeuchi with a stepped diameter as taught by Drillon because the stepped mandrel provides a gradual expansion of the workpiece.

5. Claims 12, 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeuchi in view of Drillon as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Roper US Patent No. 4,295,357. Takeuchi in view of Drillon and in further view of Sakuma do not disclose upset pipe ends inwardly flanged for receiving sealing elements. Roper teaches a pipe with an inward flange (180) (column 9, lines 3-16). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the device of Takeuchi in view of Drillon with the inward flange of Roper since the inward flange facilitates the receipt of sealing elements.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephanie Jennings whose telephone number is (571) 270-7392. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7 am - 5:30 pm EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,859 Page 4

Art Unit: 3725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dana Ross can be reached on (571) 272-4480. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. J./ Examiner, Art Unit 3725 October 7, 2009

/Dana Ross/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3725